

Genetic variability in late kharif (Rangada) onion (Allium cepa L.)

R.K. Singh*, S.R. Bhonde and R.P. Gupta

National Horticultural Research and Development Foundation, Chitegaon Phata, Post-Darna Sangavi, Taluqa-Niphad, Nashik, 422 003, Maharashtra, India. *E-mail: singhrknbpgr@yahoo.com.

Abstract

An investigation was carried out to study the genetic variability in late *kharif* germplasm of onion at Nashik, Maharashtra (India). The mean data indicated that the highest gross yield (41.17 t/ha) and marketable yield (39.13 t/ha) was recorded in line 744 and was at par with line 682 (39.07 t/ha) and (34.39 t/ha). A wide range of variability was observed for gross yield (19.65 to 41.17 t/ha), marketable yield (10.05 to 39.13 t/ha), bulb size index (20.40 to 35.90 cm²), bolters (0.00 to 40.83%), doubles (0.00 to 47.50 %), thrips/plant (8.75 to 25.80) and plant height (54.95 to 71.80 cm). A higher magnitude of coefficient of variation was recorded for bolters (112.78 -112.65%), followed by doubles (86.35–86.16%), thrips/plant (37.55-37.36) and marketable yield (29.34 and 29.90 %). Highest heritability was noted in doubles, gross yield, bulb diameter, plant height, bolters and thrips/plant. The genetic advance as percent of mean ranged from 3.93 to 231.73. High genetic advance noted in bolters (231.73 %), doubles (177.12 %), thrips/plant (76.56%) and marketable yield (54.53%) and rest of others characters showed medium to low genetic advance. Gross yield, marketable yield, doubles, bolters, thrips/plant and bulb size index indicated higher estimates of genetic advance as percent of mean coupled with high heritability, suggesting the involvement of additive genetic variance for these traits. Marketable yield was significantly and positively correlated with plant height, neck thickness, bulb diameter, bulb size index, weight of 20 bulbs, and gross yield and negatively correlated with bolters, doubles and days for bulb initiation at genotypic and phenotypic levels. Plant height, leaves/plant, bulb diameter, bulb size index, weight of 20 bulbs and days for bulbs initiation. The study revealed that a wide range of variability for important characters. index, weight of 20 bulbs and days for bulbs initiation. The study revealed that a wide range of variability for important characters exists in germplasm offering a good scope for developing improved onion varieties suitable for cultivation in Maharashtra.

Key words: Allium cepa, correlation, late kharif, heritability, onion, variability

Introduction

India is second largest producer of onion in the world after China. It is cultivated throughout the country during kharif, late kharif and rabi seasons. The main onion growing states in India are Maharashtra, Gujarat, Karnataka, Tamilnadu, Orissa, Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Andhra Pradesh, Bihar and Punjab. About 66.83 million tons of onions are produced in the world from 3.73 million hectares area. India, being major onion producing country, produces 8.18 million tons from 0.80 million hectare land. Both mature and immature bulbs are used in various ways (Singh et. al., 2004) and has many medicinal properties. An intermediate crop of onion between kharif and rabi is also taken in Maharashtra locally known as rangada. It is unique cultivation for this state with vast acreage and surplus onion production in this particular season. However, being off-season cultivation, the crop growth is totally different than kharif and rabi cultivation (Patil et al., 2000b). It is predominantly a rabi season crop and most onion cultivars are sensitive to photoperiod and thus their range of adoption is limited (Gupta and Singh, 2010). Work conducted on selection of suitable variety for late kharif onion is very scanty (Bhonde et al., 1990). Because of its high export potential it comes under cash crop. Non availability of required germplasms and no stability in desirable traits bring research gap in onion improvement programme. Lack of high yielding variety of onion in the country creates shortage during off season arrival period. To meet out the domestic requirement and also to fulfill the export

demands, selection of suitable genotypes for late *kharif* season is required. Therefore, the present investigation was conducted to evaluate the variability in late kharif season onion for identifying genotypes suitable for late *kharif* season in Maharashtra.

Materials and methods

The present investigation was carried out at National Horticultural Research and Development Foundation, Nashik, Maharashtra, India during 2008-09 and 2009-10. Nashik (20° N latitude and 73°E longitudes) is located on altitude of 492.0 above MSL. The climate of Nashik is sub-tropical with minimum and maximum temperature and humidity ranging between 2.0 to 42.0°C and 48.0 to 80.0%, respectively with an annual rain fall around 881.0 mm. The trial was laid out in randomized block design with two replications. The soil was clay loam, medium in organic carbon (0.58%), available nitrogen (385.2 kg/ha), phosphorus (45.13kg/ ha) and rich in available potash (291.2kg/ha). The study comprised 28 diverse lines (Table 1), selected from 200 germplasms evaluated at this centre along with four checks namely Agrifound Dark Red, B. Super, B-780 and Phule Suvarna. Eight week old seedlings of each lines were transplanted in flat beds during first week of November at the spacing of 15x10 cm in a plot of 3.6 x 1.8 m size. The recommended package of practices was uniformly followed during whole experiment period. Randomly selected plants from each plot were used to record the observations on plant height (cm), leaves/plant, neck thickness (cm), bulb diameter (cm), bulb size index (cm2), weight of 20 bulbs (kg),

Table 1. List of accessions and their source

| Table 1. List of accessions a | and their source |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Accession | Source |
| 359 | NBPGR, New Delhi |
| 372 | IARI, New Delhi |
| 377 | IARI, New Delhi |
| 382 | NBPGR, New Delhi |
| 388 | NBPGR, New Delhi |
| 406 | Ludhiana, Punjab |
| 409 | NBPGR, New Delhi |
| 411 | NBPGR, New Delhi |
| 425 | NBPGR, New Delhi |
| 449 | Nashik, Maharashtra |
| 579 | Nashik, Maharashtra |
| 597 | Dindigul, Tamilnadu |
| 630 | Karnal, Haryana |
| 654 | Lasalgaon, Maharashtra |
| 668 | Dindigul, Tamilnadu |
| 682 | Pipalgaon, Nashik, Maharashtra |
| 696 | Ottur, Pune, Maharashtra |
| 705 | Pipalgaon, Nashik, Maharashtra |
| 706 | Pipalgaon, Nashik, Maharashtra |
| 744 | Ottur, Junnar, Pune, Maharashtra |
| 745 | Ottur, Junnar, Pune, Maharashtra |
| 748 | Mydukur, A. P. |
| 751 | Purandar, Pune, Maharashtra |
| 752 | Purandar, Pune, Maharashtra |
| 753 | Purandar, Pune, Maharashtra |
| 779 | Lasalgaon, Maharashtra |
| 780 | Lasalgaon, Maharashtra |
| 782 | Kurnool, A.P. |
| Agrifound Dark Red | NHRDF, Nashik, Maharashtra |
| Bheema Super | DOGR, Pune, Maharashtra |
| B-780 | Rahuri, Maharashtra |
| Phule Suvarna | Rahuri, Maharashtra |

days for bulb initiation, days for harvesting, bolters (%), doubles (%), thrips/plant, gross yield (t/ha) and marketable yield (t/ha). The data was analyzed to work out the variance components and coefficient of variation as per method suggested by Burton and de Vane (1953). Heritability in broad sense and expected genetic advance as percent of mean were estimated as suggested by Johnson et al. (1955) and correlations were worked out by the method of Al-Jibouri et al. (1958).

Results and discussion

The significant differences recorded for all the characters under study are presented in Table 2. Analysis of variance for thirteen characters indicated that there is considerable variation in respect to all the characters studied. Mean data indicated that the highest gross yield (41.17 t/ha) and marketable yield (39.13 t/ha) was recorded in line 744 which was at par with line 682 (39.07 t/ha and 34.39 t/ha, respectively.

A wide range of variability was observed for gross yield (19.65 t/ha to 41.17 t/ha), marketable yield (10.05 t/ha to 39.13 t/ha), bulb size index (20.40 to 35.90 cm²), bolters (0.00 to 40.83%), doubles (0.00 to 47.50 %), thrips/plant (8.75 to 25.80) and plant height (54.95 to 71.80 cm). The spectrum of large variability for important characters offer a good scope for the improvement in late kharif onion to the breeder. Others traits showed moderate to low range of variations. It is clear from the mean data that above lines can be used in crop improvement programme for development in onion varieties. The extent of variability with respect to thirteen quantitative characters in different late kharif onion lines, measured in terms of range, genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV), phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV), environment coefficient of variation (ECV) along with amount of heritability (%), expected genetic advance and genetic advance as percent of mean are given in Table 3. The PCV was higher than the CGV in all characters. Medium PCV was observed for gross yield (17.80%), bulb size index (14.99%) and weight of 20 bulbs (11.61%). A similar trend in some of the important characters was also reported by Sidhu et. al. (1986) and Hydar et. al. (2007). All the above characters which showed high to medium coefficient of variation are of economic importance and there is an ample scope for improvement of these characters through selection.

High heritability values in broad sense are also helpful in selection if coupled with high phenotypic performance. Robinson (1966) categorized the estimates of heritability as low (5-10%), (500)medium (10%-30%) and high (30 and above). Based on this classification, the present study revealed that all the traits have high heritability. It is noted in present investigation that the values of heritability ranged from 79.0 to 99.56% in broad sense. The highest heritability was noted in doubles, gross yield, bulb diameter, plant height, bolters and thrips/plant. The finding of the present study in respect of heritability are in accordance with the reports of Haydar *et al.* (2007), Mohanty (2001) and Ghetia *et al.* (2000) for yield and weight of bulb. The genetic advance as percent of mean ranged from 3.93 to 231.73. High genetic advance was noted in bolters (231.73 %), doubles (177.12 %), thrips/plant (76.56%) and marketable yield (54.53%) and rest of other characters showed medium to low genetic advance. diameter, plant height, bolters and thrips/plant. The finding of other characters showed medium to low genetic advance. Gross yield, marketable yield, doubles, bolters, thrips/plant and bulb size index indicated higher estimates of genetic advance as percent of mean coupled with high heritability, suggesting the involvement of additive genetic variance for these traits (Panse, 1957). These results are similar to Haydar et al. (2007), Mehta et al. (2005) and Singh et al. (2010b) in respect of bulb yield. Patil et al. (2000a) also reported high heritability and genetic advance for premature bolter and doubles.

High to moderate heritability associated with medium to low genetic advance as percent of mean was observed for number of leaves/plant, plant height, neck thickness, bulb diameter and weight of 20 bulbs, indicating that these traits are governed by non additive gene action and high genotypic environment interaction. The traits which showed high heritability with high genetic advance will be more amendable to improvement through mass selection, progeny selection or any other modified selection procedure aiming at exploiting the additive variance.

In the present experiment, the study on correlation among different traits revealed that, in general the genotypic correlation coefficients were slightly higher than the phenotypic correlation (Table 4). This indicated little role of environment in the expression of genetic relationship of the traits in the phenotypes. The marketable yield was significantly and positively correlated with plant height, neck thickness, bulb diameter, bulb size index, weight of 20 bulbs, and gross yield at both genotypic and phenotypic levels and negatively correlated with bolters, doubles and days for bulb initiation at both levels. Gross yield was positively and significantly correlated with neck thickness, bulb diameter, bulb size index and weight of 20 bulbs and negatively

Table 2. Performance of onion genotypes for late kharif season at Nashik in Maharashtra

| Genotype | Plant | Leaves | Neck | Bulb | Bulb size | 20 Bulb | Bolters | Doubles | Days | Days for | Thrips/ | Gross | Marketable | Colour |
|-----------------------|-------------|--------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|---------|---------|---------------------|------------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|
| | height (cm) | plant | thickness (cm) | diameter (cm) | index (cm ²) | weight (kg) | (%) | (%) | for bulb initiation | harvesting | plant | yield (t/ha) | yield (t/ha) | of bulb |
| 359 | 61.60 | 7.75 | 1.43 | 5.28 | 22.38 | 1.43 | 13.17 | 19.50 | 41.00 | 115.50 | 11.20 | 32.61 | 25.05 | Red |
| 372 | 58.60 | 7.90 | 1.63 | 5.43 | 23.26 | 1.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 42.50 | 115.50 | 8.92 | 33.36 | 23.36 | L Red |
| 377 | 59.05 | 7.80 | 1.53 | 5.83 | 26.71 | 1.63 | 6.91 | 4.27 | 41.50 | 115.50 | 10.35 | 31.49 | 28.85 | L Red |
| 382 | 67.50 | 7.50 | 1.68 | 5.37 | 22.60 | 1.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 42.00 | 115.00 | 12.17 | 25.05 | 21.68 | Red |
| 388 | 67.15 | 8.35 | 1.80 | 5.33 | 22.69 | 1.53 | 6.33 | 6.33 | 42.50 | 113.50 | 13.50 | 32.55 | 28.87 | Red |
| 406 | 67.70 | 7.75 | 1.59 | 5.33 | 21.00 | 1.40 | 21.61 | 11.06 | 40.50 | 116.50 | 15.90 | 31.27 | 25.25 | Red |
| 409 | 70.60 | 8.35 | 1.57 | 5.76 | 26.17 | 1.45 | 10.50 | 47.50 | 43.50 | 117.00 | 15.85 | 29.42 | 22.91 | L Red |
| 411 | 56.60 | 7.40 | 1.58 | 6.06 | 30.17 | 1.68 | 7.85 | 19.12 | 41.50 | 112.50 | 19.30 | 24.75 | 20.05 | L Red |
| 425 | 63.10 | 8.90 | 1.67 | 5.25 | 21.50 | 1.23 | 4.17 | 45.91 | 42.50 | 113.50 | 25.70 | 20.05 | 13.92 | L Red |
| 449 | 56.20 | 7.40 | 1.47 | 5.23 | 20.40 | 1.10 | 10.26 | 21.68 | 43.00 | 114.50 | 17.85 | 19.65 | 10.05 | Red |
| 579 | 64.90 | 9.70 | 1.73 | 5.65 | 24.36 | 1.68 | 10.00 | 14.50 | 41.50 | 114.50 | 25.80 | 28.87 | 18.87 | Red |
| 597 | 58.60 | 8.60 | 1.56 | 5.77 | 24.32 | 1.43 | 40.83 | 8.67 | 44.50 | 115.50 | 21.95 | 22.26 | 13.41 | Red |
| 630 | 60.10 | 7.70 | 1.39 | 5.27 | 21.95 | 1.43 | 6.13 | 30.63 | 45.00 | 111.50 | 13.85 | 24.25 | 17.15 | L Red |
| 654 | 67.50 | 7.90 | 1.82 | 5.82 | 27.68 | 1.68 | 0.00 | 27.14 | 43.50 | 111.50 | 16.80 | 36.15 | 28.48 | L Red |
| 668 | 54.95 | 8.50 | 1.45 | 5.80 | 28.41 | 1.53 | 5.43 | 28.29 | 40.50 | 118.50 | 14.50 | 31.97 | 23.80 | Red |
| 682 | 66.90 | 10.60 | 1.75 | 6.31 | 30.97 | 1.71 | 2.55 | 8.38 | 41.50 | 116.50 | 25.10 | 39.07 | 34.39 | D Red |
| 696 | 61.50 | 8.50 | 1.74 | 5.89 | 23.95 | 1.38 | 5.48 | 38.09 | 40.50 | 118.00 | 18.70 | 38.41 | 29.47 | Red |
| 705 | 67.80 | 8.80 | 1.67 | 6.01 | 27.55 | 1.51 | 1.19 | 20.79 | 43.00 | 116.50 | 19.60 | 34.38 | 24.40 | L Red |
| 706 | 67.30 | 8.35 | 1.57 | 5.86 | 26.76 | 1.61 | 4.56 | 10.59 | 41.50 | 116.00 | 12.90 | 35.21 | 28.94 | D Red |
| 744 | 71.00 | 8.40 | 1.87 | 6.45 | 35.90 | 1.66 | 0.63 | 0.34 | 38.50 | 110.50 | 8.95 | 41.17 | 39.13 | D Red |
| 745 | 65.60 | 8.55 | 1.73 | 5.88 | 28.38 | 1.61 | 2.53 | 10.75 | 38.00 | 111.50 | 16.20 | 38.93 | 33.90 | Red |
| 748 | 71.80 | 8.70 | 1.69 | 6.19 | 32.40 | 1.62 | 3.19 | 0.61 | 39.00 | 110.50 | 12.90 | 38.90 | 37.38 | D Red |
| 751 | 64.70 | 8.30 | 1.78 | 5.75 | 26.63 | 1.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 41.00 | 115.50 | 9.55 | 33.36 | 19.07 | Red |
| 752 | 59.70 | 7.80 | 1.79 | 6.28 | 30.96 | 1.71 | 0.00 | 16.06 | 42.50 | 116.50 | 19.95 | 36.87 | 26.40 | Red |
| 753 | 60.40 | 8.70 | 1.53 | 6.00 | 28.99 | 1.77 | 2.65 | 7.32 | 43.00 | 118.00 | 12.80 | 37.20 | 29.78 | Red |
| 779 | 55.70 | 7.90 | 1.43 | 5.86 | 25.45 | 1.48 | 4.00 | 8.00 | 41.50 | 116.50 | 9.10 | 29.09 | 20.95 | L Red |
| 780 | 56.60 | 8.50 | 1.59 | 6.37 | 32.44 | 1.71 | 2.25 | 19.50 | 42.00 | 116.50 | 9.25 | 39.56 | 33.81 | D Red |
| 782 | 63.50 | 8.70 | 1.53 | 5.53 | 20.92 | 1.15 | 14.14 | 14.64 | 43.00 | 118.50 | 7.70 | 29.69 | 18.89 | Red |
| Agrifound Dark Red | 60.30 | 8.65 | 1.30 | 5.77 | 24.59 | 1.33 | 7.10 | 6.31 | 41.50 | 118.50 | 8.95 | 30.86 | 26.17 | D Red |
| Bheema Super | 60.80 | 7.80 | 1.49 | 5.95 | 26.46 | 1.63 | 9.48 | 4.49 | 43.50 | 116.50 | 3.75 | 32.58 | 29.49 | D Red |
| B-780 | 67.75 | 8.70 | 1.63 | 6.15 | 29.23 | 1.43 | 11.37 | 9.93 | 42.50 | 116.50 | 12.95 | 33.92 | 27.95 | D Red |
| Phule Suvarna | 59.90 | 8.75 | 1.57 | 6.23 | 25.97 | 1.52 | 12.58 | 11.09 | 44.50 | 115.50 | 13.90 | 35.60 | 30.72 | D Red |
| LSD (<i>P</i> =0.05) | 0.57 | 0.35 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 2.61 | 0.05 | 0.79 | 1.72 | 1.49 | 1.16 | 1.12 | 2.35 | 2.53 | |

Table 3. Range, mean, coefficient of variation, heritability and genetic advance for different traits in late *kharif* season onion

| Character | Range | SEm | Grand | Coeff | icients of vari | ations | Heritability | Genetic advance | GA as % of | |
|--------------------------|---------------|------|--------|---------|-----------------|---------|--------------|-----------------|------------|--|
| | | | Mean | PCV (%) | GCV (%) | ECV (%) | | | mean | |
| Plant height | 54.95-71.80 | 0.19 | 62.98 | 7.68 | 7.66 | 0.44 | 89.00 | 9.93 | 15.75 | |
| Leaves/plant | 7.40-10.60 | 0.11 | 8.35 | 8.02 | 7.76 | 2.02 | 93.00 | 1.29 | 15.44 | |
| Neck thickness | 1.39-1.87 | 1.97 | 1.60 | 8.65 | 8.48 | 1.73 | 85.00 | 0.27 | 16.87 | |
| Bulb diameter | 5.23-6.45 | 2.51 | 5.79 | 6.15 | 6.12 | 0.61 | 99.00 | 0.72 | 12.43 | |
| Bulb size index | 20.40-35.90 | 0.90 | 26.28 | 14.99 | 14.18 | 4.86 | 89.00 | 7.26 | 27.62 | |
| Weight of 20 bulbs | 1.10-1.70 | 1.82 | 1.50 | 11.61 | 11.48 | 1.71 | 97.00 | 0.35 | 23.33 | |
| Bolters | 0.00-40.83 | 0.27 | 7.09 | 112.78 | 112.65 | 5.42 | 99.00 | 16.43 | 231.73 | |
| Doubles | 0.00-47.50 | 0.59 | 14.73 | 86.35 | 86.16 | 5.72 | 99.56 | 26.09 | 177.12 | |
| Days for bulb initiation | 38.00-45.00 | 0.51 | 42.00 | 3.86 | 3.45 | 1.73 | 79.00 | 2.67 | 6.35 | |
| Days for harvesting | 110.50-118.50 | 0.40 | 115.26 | 2.03 | 1.97 | 0.49 | 94.00 | 4.54 | 3.93 | |
| Thrips/plant | 8.75-25.80 | 0.38 | 14.55 | 37.55 | 37.36 | 3.77 | 98.00 | 11.14 | 76.56 | |
| Gross yield | 196.50-411.75 | 0.84 | 321.44 | 17.81 | 17.81 | 0.37 | 99.00 | 117.92 | 36.68 | |
| Marketable yield | 100.50-391.33 | 8.76 | 253.95 | 29.34 | 29.90 | 4.87 | 96.00 | 138.50 | 54.53 | |

Table 4. Genotypic (r_.) and phenotypic (r_.) correlation coefficients for different traits in late *kharif* season onion

| Character | | Leaves | | Bulb | Bulb | Weight | Bolters | Doubles | Days | Days | Thrips/ | Gross | Marketable |
|--------------------------|----------|--------|-----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|------------|---------|---------|------------|
| | | /plant | thickness | diameter | size | of 20 | (%) | (%) | for bulb | for | plant | yield | yield |
| | | | | | index | bulbs | | | | harvesting | | | |
| Plant height | r_g | 0.308 | 0.580** | 0.095 | 0.190 | 0.124 | -0.148 | -0.090 | -0.325 | -0.340 | 0.093 | 0.338 | 0.403* |
| | r_p | | 0.564** | 0.096 | 0.186 | 0.121 | -0.147 | -0.089 | -0.291 | -0.329 | 0.091 | 0.337 | 0.397* |
| Leaves/plant | r_g | | 0.280 | 0.369* | 0.245 | 0.142 | 0.004 | -0.148 | 0.173 | 0.442* | 0.334 | 0.281 | 0.311 |
| | r_p | | 0.261 | 0.252 | 0.233 | 0.140 | 0.006 | 0980 | 0.168 | 0.427* | 0.324 | 0.259 | 0.303 |
| Neck thickness | r_{g} | | | 0.311 | 0.408* | 0.367* | -0.332 | -0.099 | -0.367* | -0.426* | 0.345* | 0.438* | 0.363* |
| | r_p | | | 0.303 | 0.371* | 0.368* | -0.326 | -0.998 | -0.317 | -0.403* | 0.341* | 0.429* | 0.351* |
| Bulb diameter | r_{g} | | | | 0.927** | 0.692** | -0.226 | -0.227 | -0.213 | 0.014 | -0.041 | 0.689** | 0.683** |
| | r_p | | | | 0.893** | 0.684** | -0.223 | -0.226 | -0.192 | 0.010 | -0.041 | 0.686** | 0.673** |
| Bulb size index | r_g | | | | | 0.789** | -0.389* | -0.243 | -0.416* | -0.222 | -0.054 | 0.694** | 0.723** |
| | r_p | | | | | 0.741** | -0.362* | -0.230 | -0.322 | -0.217 | 0.055 | 0.658** | 0.681** |
| Weight of 20 bulbs | r_g | | | | | | -0.283 | -0.232 | -0.232 | -0.197 | 0.531** | 0.617** | 0.614** |
| | r_p | | | | | | -0.281 | -0.229 | -0.214 | -0.202 | 0.525** | 0.611** | 0.599** |
| Bolters (%) | r_g | | | | | | | 0.321 | 0.291 | 0.187 | 0.169 | -0.430* | -0.389* |
| | r_p | | | | | | | 0.311 | 0.263 | 0.181 | 0.165 | -0.429* | -0.382* |
| Doubles (%) | r_g | | | | | | | | 0.264 | 0.775** | 0.462* | -0.294 | -0.343* |
| ` ' | r_p^g | | | | | | | | 0.229 | 0.756** | 0.459* | -0.293 | -0.336* |
| Days for bulb initiation | <i>p</i> | | | | | | | | | 0.216 | 0.865** | -0.491* | -0.518** |
| | | | | | | | | | | 0.197 | 0.731** | -0.436* | -0.458* |
| Days for harvesting | r_p | | | | | | | | | 0.177 | -0.148 | 0.019 | -0.141 |
| , | r_g | | | | | | | | | | -0.146 | 0.019 | -0.139 |
| | r_p | | | | | | | | | | -0.130 | -0.255 | |
| | r_{g} | | | | | | | | | | | | -0.292 |
| | r_p | | | | | | | | | | | -0.251 | -0.287 |
| Gross yield | r_g | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.916** |
| | r_p | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.901** |

^{*, **} Significant at P=0.05 and P=0.01, respectively

correlated with bolters and days for bulb initiation at genotypic and phenotypic levels. Neck thickness was positively correlated with plant height at both genotypic and phenotypic levels. A positive correlation existed between bulb diameter and leaves/plant at genotypic level. Bulb size index was positively correlated with neck thickness and bulb diameter at both levels. Weight of 20 bulbs was positive and significantly correlated with neck thickness, bulb diameter and bulb size index at genotypic and phenotypic levels.

Bolters was negatively and significantly correlated with bulb size index. Days for bulb initiation was negatively and significantly correlated with neck thickness and bulb size index at genotypic level. Days for harvesting was positively correlated with leaves/plant and double and negatively correlated with neck thickness. In correlation studies it was noted that, the traits *viz.* plant height, number of leaves/plant, bulb diameter, bulb size index, weight of 20 bulbs, days for bulbs initiation, and yield were most important yield contributing traits and correlated to each other as reported earlier by Pal *et al.* (1988) and Singh *et al.* (2010a). Based on variability, heritability, genetic advance and correlation in existing germplasm, it may be concluded that selection for above traits is possible for developing onion varieties suitable for late *kharif* season.

Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful to the Director, National Horticultural Research and Development Foundation, Nashik for providing necessary facilities during the course of this investigation.

Complementary Copy-Not for Sale

References

Al-Jibouri, H.A., P.A. Millar and H.F. Robinson, 1958. Genotypic and environmental variance and covariance in and upland cotton cross of inter specific origin. *Agron. J.*, 50: 633-636.

Bhonde, S.R., K.J. Shrivastava and K.N. Singh, 1990. Evaluation of varieties for late *Kharif* (Rangda) crop of onion in Nashik area. "*Proceeding of the National Symposium on Onion and Garlic (Production, Marketing and Export)*". In: Seshadri, V S, Gautam, N C, Jaiswal, R.C. and Singh, P.K. (Eds.). Published by Indian Society of Vegetable science, Horticulture Society of India and Associated Agricultural Development Foundation, Nashik, June 2-3, 1990. 64p.

Burton, G.W. and E.H. de Vane, 1953. Estimating heritability in tall Fescue (*Festuce arundinacua*) from replicated clonal materials. *Agron. J.*, 45: 475-481

Ghetia, J.M and S.P. Singh, 2000. Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis in onion (*Allium cepa* L). In: "Approaches for sustainable development of Onion and garlic", Singh, K, Lawande, K E, Pandey, U B, Singh, L & Bhonde, S R (Eds.). Published by National Horticultural Research and Development Foundation, Nashik. Proceedings of the National Symposium on Onion and Garlic Production and Post Harvest Management Challenges and Strategies, Nashik, November 19-21, 2000. 51-54pp.

Gupta, R.P. and R.K. Singh, 2010. Onion Production in India. *Technical Bulletin*. No-7, Published by National Horticultural Research and Development Foundation, Nashik, 1-80pp.

Haydar, A., N. Sharker, M.B. Ahmend, M.M. Hannan, M.A. Razvy, M. Hossain, A. Hoque and R. Karim, 2007. Genetic variability and interrelationship in onion (*Allium cepa L.*). *Middle-East J. Sci. Res.*, 2 (3-4): 132-134.

- Johnson, H.W., H.F. Robinson and R.E. Comostock, 1955. Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybeans. *Agron. J.*, 47: 314-318.
- Mehta, D.R., L.K. Dhaduk and K.V. Kalathia, 2005. Genetic variability, diversity, correlations and path coefficient analysis of Indian cultivars of onion under Saurashtra region of Gujrat. In: "Recent Advances in Allium Research", Singh, U P, Singh, D P and Sarma, B K (Eds). Published by Association of Allium workers in India. Proceeding of First National Conference on Alliums, Varanasi, February, 24-25, 2005. 128-142pp.
- Mohanty, B.K. 2001. Genetic variability, inter relationship and path analysis in onion. *J. Trop. Agri.*, 39: 17-20.
- Pal, N., N. Singh and B. Choudhary, 1988. Correlation and path coefficient studies in onion. *Ind. J. Hort.*, 45(3/4): 295-299.
- Panse, V.G. 1957. Genetics of quantitative characters in relation to plant breeding. *Ind. J. Genet.*, 17: 311-329.
- Patil, R.S., V. Sood, S. B. Desale and M. N. Bhalekar, 2000a. Genetical studies in Rangada (Late *Kharif*) onion. In: "Approaches for Sustainable Development of Onion and Garlic", K. Singh, K.E. Lawande, U.B. Pandey, L. Singh and S.R. Bhonde (Eds.). Published by National Horticultural Research and Development Foundation, Nashik. Proceedings of the National Symposium on Onion and Garlic Production and Post Harvest Management Challenges and Strategies, Nashik, November 19-21, 2000 (107-111pp).

- Patil, R.S., V. Sood, S.B., Desale, and T.A. More, 2000b. Evaluation of promising onion genotypes for Rangada season. n: "Approaches for Sustainable Development of Onion and Garlic", K. Singh, K.E. Lawande, U.B. Pandey, L. Singh and S.R. Bhonde (Eds.). Published by National Horticultural Research and Development Foundation, Nashik. Proceedings of the National Symposium on Onion and Garlic Production and Post Harvest Management Challenges and Strategies, Nashik, November 19-21, 2000 (112-116pp).
- Robinson, H.F. 1966. Quantitative genetics in relation to breeding on the centennial of Mendelism *Ind. J. Genet.*, 26a: 171-187.
- Sidhu, A.S., S. Singh and M.R. Thakur, 1986. Variability and correlation studies in onion. *Ind. J. Hort.*, 43: 260-264.
- Singh, D.K., L. Singh and U.B. Pandey, 2004. Nutritional and medicinal values of onion and garlic. *NHRDF News Letter*, 24(2): 4-10.
- Singh, R.K., B.K. Dubey, S.R. Bhonde and R.P. Gupta, 2010a. Estimates of genetic variability, heritability and correlation in red onion (*Allium cepa* L.) advance lines. *Ind. J. Agri. Sci.*, 80(2): 160-163.
- Singh, R.K., B.K. Dubey, S.R. Bhonde and R.P. Gupta, 2010b. Variability studies for some quantitative characters in white onion (*Allium cepa* L.) advance lines. *Veg. Sci.*, 37(1): 105-107.
- Received: December, 2010; Revised: December, 2010; Accepted: January, 2011