

# Direct and residual effect of integrated nutrient management on crop productivity and physico-chemical characteristics of allisols in okra-pea cropping system

M.A. Chattoo<sup>1\*</sup>, N. Ahmed<sup>2</sup>, G.R. Najjar<sup>1</sup>, Angrej Ali<sup>1</sup>, Z.M. Dar<sup>1</sup> and Q.A.H. Dar<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Agriculture, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences & Technology, Wadura Campus, Sopore (Jammu & Kashmir)-193 201, India. <sup>2</sup>Central Institute of Temperate Horticulture, Rangreth, Srinagar (Jammu & Kashmir)-190 005, India. \*E-mail: machattoo@gmail.com

## Abstract

A field experiment was conducted on direct and residual effect of integrated nutrient management on crop productivity and physico-chemical characteristics of allisols in okra-pea cropping system in Kashmir valley. The okra was grown as main crop and pea as residual crop. The experiment was laid out in simple square lattice design having 25 treatments with two replications. The pooled data revealed that integrated nutrient management significantly influenced the productivity of main as well as residual crop, physico-chemical properties and microbial activity of experimental soil. Among various treatments under study, treatment T<sub>24</sub> (FYM, sheep manure, poultry manure and vermicompost (3, 2, 0.5, 0.6 tonnes ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) along with biofertilizers (*Azospirillum* and *Phosphobacteria*; both as seed inoculant @ 1.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and as soil inoculant @ 2.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and 50 % recommended dose (RDF) of fertilizers (N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O, 60:30:30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) resulted significantly maximum fruit yield of okra (272.71 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and pod yield of pea (123.56 q ha<sup>-1</sup>). The physico-chemical characteristics of the soil under study showed an improvement with organics application as compared to initial, control and RDF. Soil under the treatment T<sub>24</sub> showed lowest bulk density, particle density and pH; and highest porosity, EC, and organic carbon content. Available nutrients in soil (nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and sulphur) and microbial population (fungi and bacteria) were also recorded maximum with treatment T<sub>24</sub>.

**Key words:** Allisols, INM, inorganic fertilizers, okra-pea productivity, organic manures, soil quality.

## Introduction

Sustainable crop production is an ecological production management system that promotes and enhances biodiversity, biological cycles, and soil biological activity. It is based on minimal use of off-farm inputs and on management practices that restore, maintain, or enhance ecological harmony. Integrated nutrient management (INM) is one of the important component of sustainable crop production system that implies the most efficient use and management of organic, inorganic and biological sources of major nutrients as well as micronutrients to attain higher levels of crop productivity and to maintain the fertility of the soil. Debates are in vogue that the highly productive fertilizer introduced over the past decades may be reaching a point of diminishing.

Prospects for expanding low-cost irrigation, one of the driving forces behind yield increases, are also becoming more limited, as are the prospects for converting marginal lands into productive arable land. Furthermore, new technologies such as genetically engineered, yield-increasing plants are not expected to be major factors in food production increase in developing countries during the next few decades. Consequently, keeping pace with population growth and increasing land scarcity will be more difficult than in the recent past. Concerns also are growing about the long-term sustainability of agriculture. Both the over and under application of fertilizer and the poor management of resources have damaged the environment. In developed countries, for example, over application of inorganic and organic fertilizer has

led to environmental contamination of water supplies.

For harnessing higher crop yield, adequate and balanced nutrition is a prerequisite. Substitution of high analysis fertilizers for enhancing crop productivity or insufficient use of organic manures have rendered most of the Indian soils nutrient deficient (Acharya and Mandal, 2002). Large scale use of chemical fertilizers has deteriorated soil structure, decline in microbial population, leaching of plant nutrients (Agarwal, 2003). This indicates there is an urgent need to utilize other sources of plant nutrients which can ensure sustainable productivity and soil quality on long term basis. The answer lies in the use of organic source of nutrients which besides being found helpful in the improvement of soil structural properties, regulate soil pH, maintain soil health, biological diversity, soil micro flora and increases nutrient availability (Wang *et al.*, 1992; Marinari *et al.*, 2000; and Singh *et al.*, 2000). It has been observed that neither chemical fertilizers nor organic manures are able to sustain the crop productivity and soil fertility, when used alone. The integration has proved more effective than individual component application with respect to yield, crop quality as well as soil health. The integrated use of organic and inorganic fertilizers for improving crop productivity in vegetable crops has been reported by several workers (Bhardwaj *et al.*, 2000; Chattoo *et al.*, 2003 and Magray, 2002). Literature also revealed that the residual effect of plant nutrients can be very well utilized for raising the secondary crop without addition of further nutrients (Duraismi and Mani, 2001 and Chattoo *et al.*, 2010).

Okra and pea are important vegetable crop cultivated in Kashmir valley where okra crops is raised during summer months (May-October) followed by pea as winter crop (November-April). Keeping in view the above facts, present investigation was carried out to study the direct and residual effect of integrated nutrient management on crop productivity and soil quality under okra-pea cropping system in Kashmir valley.

## Materials and methods

The study was conducted at Experimental Field of the Division of Vegetable Science, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, Srinagar (Jammu and Kashmir), India. The main crop okra was raised during Khrif 2004 and 2005, and residual crop pea was raised during Rabi after the harvest of okra 2004-2005 and 2005-2006. The soil of experiment plot was loamy in texture (21.90% sand, 41.80% slit and 33.70% clay), almost neutral in reaction (pH 7.2), normal in electrical conductivity ( $0.09 \text{ dsm}^{-1}$ ), high organic carbon content (1.08%), low available N ( $206.95 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ), medium available phosphorous ( $21.80 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ) and potassium ( $172.48 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ) and low available sulphur ( $20.16 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ). The initial rhizosphere fungal and bacterial population was  $4 \times 10^5 \text{ g}^{-1}$  and  $15 \times 10^5 \text{ g}^{-1}$  soil, respectively. The initial bulk density, particle density was 1.45 and  $2.45 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ , respectively with 40.82 % porosity. In present study 25 treatments comprised of variable doses of chemical fertilizers, farm yard manure (FYM), poultry manure (PM), sheep manure (SM) vermicompost (VC) and biofertilizers (BF) alone or in combinations viz.:  $T_1$  - 100% RFD (recommended dose of fertilizers -  $120:60:60 \text{ N: P}_2\text{O}_5: \text{K}_2\text{O kg ha}^{-1}$ ),  $T_2$  - FYM ( $30 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ),  $T_3$  - sheep manure ( $20 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ),  $T_4$  - poultry manure ( $6 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ),  $T_5$  - vermicompost ( $6 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ),  $T_6$  - biofertilizers (*Azospirillum* and *Phosphobacteria*; both as seed inoculant @  $1.0 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  and as soil inoculant @  $2.5 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ),  $T_7$  - FYM + SM + PM + VC + BF ( $6 \text{ t} + 4 \text{ t} + 1 \text{ t} + 1 \text{ t} + 7.0 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ , respectively),  $T_8$  - FYM ( $15 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) + SM ( $10 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ),  $T_9$  - FYM ( $15 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) + PM ( $3 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ),  $T_{10}$  - FYM ( $15 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) + VC ( $3 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ),  $T_{11}$  - FYM ( $15 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) + BF ( $7.0 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ),  $T_{12}$  - SM ( $10 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) + PM ( $3 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ),  $T_{13}$  - SM ( $10 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) + VC ( $3 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ),  $T_{14}$  - SM ( $10 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) + BF ( $7.0 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ),  $T_{15}$  - PM ( $3 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) + VC ( $3 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ),  $T_{16}$  - PM ( $3 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) + BF ( $7.0 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ),  $T_{17}$  - VC ( $3 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) + BF ( $7.0 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ),  $T_{18}$  - 50% RFD,  $T_{19}$  - FYM ( $15 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) + 50% RFD,  $T_{20}$  - SM ( $10 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) + 50% RFD,  $T_{21}$  - PM ( $3 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) + 50% RFD,  $T_{22}$  - VC ( $3 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) + 50% RFD,  $T_{23}$  - BF ( $7.0 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ) + 50% RFD,  $T_{24}$  - FYM + SM + PM + VC + BF ( $3 \text{ t} + 2 \text{ t} + 0.5 \text{ t} + 0.6 \text{ t} + 7.0 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  respectively) + 50 % RFD,  $T_{25}$  - Control (no fertilizer/ organic manure/ biofertilizers). The experiment was conducted in Lattice Square Design with two replications. Organic manures/ vermicompost were applied at the time of land preparation. Biofertilizers were applied both as seed treatment as well as soil inoculant. In case of chemical fertilizers, half dose of nitrogen, full phosphorous and potassium were applied as basal dose and remaining dose of nitrogen was top dressed one month after planting in main crop Okra during both the seasons. In residual crop (pea) no manure/ chemical fertilizer was applied during both seasons. The seeds of okra, SKBS-11, were sown in the first week of June during 2005 and 2006 in a plot of size  $3.00 \text{ m} \times 3.00 \text{ m}$  with a spacing of  $50 \text{ cm} \times 30 \text{ cm}$ . Pea seeds variety Bonneville were sown in the same plot with a spacing of  $30 \text{ cm} \times 10 \text{ cm}$  during second fort night of November during both the seasons. Other cultural operations were carried out as per the 'Package and practices of

vegetables' of SKUAST-Kashmir. Data on pod/fruit yield in both the crops were recorded on per plot basis and expressed in  $\text{q ha}^{-1}$ . Representative soil samples of the experimental field before the start of experiment as well as after the crop harvest from each treatment were taken and analyzed for physical, chemical and microbial characteristics as per the standard procedures. Data recorded during the course of investigation were subjected to pooled analysis with 5% level of significance as per standard procedure suggested by Gupta and Prasad (2006).

## Results and Discussions

### Direct effect of INM on fruit yield of main crop (okra):

Treatments under study exhibited a significant influence on fruit yield of okra. Among various treatments, integration of organics with inorganics proved superior to combined application of organics as well as sole application. Pooled analysis revealed that treatment  $T_{24}$  (FYM + SM + PM + VC + BF + RFD 50%)  $3 \text{ t} + 2 \text{ t} + 0.5 \text{ t} + 0.6 \text{ t} + 7.0 \text{ kg} + 60:30:30 \text{ N: P}_2\text{O}_5: \text{K}_2\text{O kg ha}^{-1}$ ) registered maximum fruit yield of  $272.71 \text{ q ha}^{-1}$ , which was significantly superior to rest of the treatments. The extent of increase was 5.26 and 176.69% over  $T_1$  ( $259.08 \text{ q ha}^{-1}$ ) and control ( $98.56 \text{ q ha}^{-1}$ ), respectively (Table 1). Improvement in fruit yield of okra as a result of integration of organic and inorganic could be due to better decomposition and mineralization of organic manures, resulting better nutrient availability, besides solubilizing effect on fixed forms of nutrients and also improvement in physical and biological properties of soil. Similar observations have also been reported by Narayan *et al.* (2004).

### Residual effect of INM on pod yield of succeeding crop (pea):

Among various treatments under study, maximum residual influence on pod yield of pea was exhibited by integration of organics and inorganics as compared to sole application and combination of organics. Pooled analysis revealed that treatment  $T_{24}$  registered pod yield of  $123.56 \text{ q ha}^{-1}$  which was found significantly superior to the rest of treatments. The extent of increase was 81.54% and 234.03% over  $T_1$  ( $68.06 \text{ q ha}^{-1}$ ) and control ( $36.99 \text{ q ha}^{-1}$ ), respectively. The beneficial effect of organics and their integration with organic fertilizers in raising a succeeding crop can be attributed to their residual effects, as organics exhibit a slow release of nutrients, desired organic matter buildup, less loss of nutrients (Rao, 1976; Duraisami and Mani, 2011 and Chattoo *et al.*, 2010)

**Physico-chemical properties of soil:** After the completion of the experiment (Rabi 2005-06), physical characteristics exhibited an improvement under the influence of various treatments. Sole application of organics, their combinations and integration of organic and inorganic fertilizers exhibited an improvement in bulk density, particle density and porosity. Bulk density of  $1.24 \text{ g cm}^3$  was registered with treatment  $T_{24}$  which was significantly lower than initial ( $1.45 \text{ g cm}^3$ ), control ( $1.40 \text{ g cm}^3$ ) and  $T_1$  ( $1.37 \text{ g cm}^3$ ). Decrease in bulk density could be attributed to the favourable effect of organics on soil aggregation; increased biomass production and organic matter build up. Treatment  $T_{24}$  recorded significantly lower particle density of  $2.29 \text{ g cm}^3$  as compared to initial ( $2.45 \text{ g cm}^3$ ), control ( $2.40 \text{ g cm}^3$ ) and  $T_1$  ( $2.35 \text{ g cm}^3$ ). Decrease in particle density could be due to lower weight of organic matter. Porosity registered an increase due to integrated use of organics and inorganics, recording maximum

Table 1. Direct and residual effect of integrated nutrient management on the okra and pea yield

Treatment	Fruit/ pod yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	
	Okra (Main crop)	Pea (Residual crop)
T <sub>1</sub>	259.08	68.06
T <sub>2</sub>	209.00	77.92
T <sub>3</sub>	221.14	78.70
T <sub>4</sub>	238.78	87.43
T <sub>5</sub>	231.19	76.67
T <sub>6</sub>	155.13	52.56
T <sub>7</sub>	254.99	115.80
T <sub>8</sub>	221.08	87.22
T <sub>9</sub>	236.67	100.38
T <sub>10</sub>	230.37	96.51
T <sub>11</sub>	214.13	84.71
T <sub>12</sub>	246.38	108.19
T <sub>13</sub>	233.00	99.65
T <sub>14</sub>	223.95	95.35
T <sub>15</sub>	248.18	109.21
T <sub>16</sub>	244.77	102.75
T <sub>17</sub>	238.30	101.15
T <sub>18</sub>	170.50	52.44
T <sub>19</sub>	243.34	110.39
T <sub>20</sub>	254.33	112.41
T <sub>21</sub>	260.08	118.84
T <sub>22</sub>	257.56	110.77
T <sub>23</sub>	237.79	106.30
T <sub>24</sub>	272.71	123.56
T <sub>25</sub>	98.56	36.99
LSD (P=0.05)	6.59	3.38

Table 2. Effect of integrated nutrient management on physico-chemical properties of soil

Treatment	Bulk density (g cm <sup>3</sup> )	Particle density (g cm <sup>3</sup> )	Porosity (%)	pH	Electrical conductivity (dsm <sup>-1</sup> )	Organic carbon (%)
T <sub>1</sub>	1.37	2.35	41.63	6.74	0.112	1.17
T <sub>2</sub>	1.32	2.31	43.00	6.69	0.112	1.48
T <sub>3</sub>	1.30	2.30	43.33	6.63	0.122	1.49
T <sub>4</sub>	1.29	2.30	43.73	6.54	0.132	1.56
T <sub>5</sub>	1.30	2.30	43.40	6.61	0.128	1.48
T <sub>6</sub>	1.33	2.30	42.18	6.84	0.115	1.18
T <sub>7</sub>	1.26	2.30	45.14	6.50	0.139	1.63
T <sub>8</sub>	1.29	2.30	44.03	6.59	0.131	1.54
T <sub>9</sub>	1.27	2.29	44.30	6.59	0.133	1.60
T <sub>10</sub>	1.29	2.29	43.58	6.62	0.121	1.53
T <sub>11</sub>	1.29	2.29	43.98	6.58	0.122	1.48
T <sub>12</sub>	1.26	2.28	44.52	6.49	0.136	1.62
T <sub>13</sub>	1.27	2.28	44.48	6.53	0.127	1.55
T <sub>14</sub>	1.27	2.28	44.30	6.48	0.123	1.50
T <sub>15</sub>	1.26	2.29	44.90	6.51	0.138	1.62
T <sub>16</sub>	1.27	2.29	44.46	6.49	0.134	1.53
T <sub>17</sub>	1.27	2.29	44.35	6.59	0.129	1.52
T <sub>18</sub>	1.37	2.33	41.21	6.68	0.106	1.10
T <sub>19</sub>	1.25	2.28	45.06	6.59	0.134	1.56
T <sub>20</sub>	1.25	2.28	45.12	6.51	0.135	1.60
T <sub>21</sub>	1.24	2.29	45.63	6.51	0.140	1.63
T <sub>22</sub>	1.26	2.29	44.66	6.57	0.137	1.58
T <sub>23</sub>	1.27	2.29	44.55	6.56	0.127	1.46
T <sub>24</sub>	1.24	2.29	45.81	6.51	0.145	1.65
T <sub>25</sub>	1.40	2.37	40.93	7.36	0.087	0.90
LSD (P=0.05)	0.03	0.01	1.24	0.18	0.012	0.08

porosity of 45.61% with T<sub>24</sub> and was found significantly superior than the values recorded with initial (40.82%), control (40.93%) and T<sub>1</sub> (41.63%) (Table 2). Increase in porosity could be attributed to enhanced soil aggregation leading to higher porosity. These results are in conformity with those of Renuka and Sankar (2001) and Bhattacharya *et al.* (2004).

After the completion of the experiment (Rabi 2005-06), chemical characteristics *viz.*, pH, electrical conductivity and organic carbon content exhibited significant variations under the influence of various treatments. Integration of organic and inorganic fertilizers as well as conjugation of different organic sources proved superior in decreasing soil pH and increasing organic carbon content over sole applications. Treatment T<sub>24</sub> recorded pH of 6.51, which was significantly lower than the values recorded with initial (7.25), control (7.36) and T<sub>1</sub> (6.74). The decrease in pH could be due to increase in partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub>, release of organic acids during decomposition of organic materials. Significant variations for electrical conductivity due to various treatments were observed. Treatment T<sub>24</sub> registered significantly higher EC of 0.145 dsm<sup>-1</sup> as compared to initial (0.112 dsm<sup>-1</sup>), control (0.087 dsm<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>1</sub> (0.112 dsm<sup>-1</sup>). The increase in EC could be attributed to decrease in pH. Maximum organic carbon content of 1.65% was observed with T<sub>24</sub> which was significantly superior over initial (1.08%), control (0.90%) and T<sub>1</sub> (1.17%) (Table 2). Increase in organic

carbon content may be attributed to the addition of organic manures, more decomposition, better root growth, more microbial population, enhanced biological conservation leading to higher buildup of organic carbon content. Similar trend has also been reported by other research workers (Sharma *et al.*, 2003; Swain *et al.*, 2003; Walia and Kler, 2003 and Sharma *et al.*, 2009).

**Soil nutrient availability:** Significant variations due to various treatments regarding the availability of nitrogen, phosphorous, potassium and sulphur were observed after the completion of the experiment (Rabi 2005-06). Integration of organic and inorganic fertilizers proved superior in enhancing the availability of nitrogen, phosphorous, potassium, and sulphur in the soil as compared to sole application of organics, inorganics and organic conjugations. Treatment, T<sub>24</sub> registered maximum available nitrogen content of 266.13 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, which was significantly superior over initial (206.95 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), control (74.28 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>1</sub> (179.15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The increase in available N could be attributed to the direct addition of nitrogen through these sources and better mineralization of organic sources due to addition of chemical fertilizers to the available pool of the soil. Maximum available phosphorous 52.36 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was registered with T<sub>24</sub> and was found significantly superior as compared to initial (28.00 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), control (6.40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>1</sub> (30.94 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Increase in the available P with integration of organic and inorganic sources

Table 3. Effect of integrated nutrient management on nutrient availability of soil

Treatment	Available nutrient (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			
	Nitrogen	Phosphorus	Potassium	Sulphur
T <sub>1</sub>	179.15	30.94	163.32	15.80
T <sub>2</sub>	207.25	33.71	175.88	20.19
T <sub>3</sub>	210.40	34.74	177.96	20.43
T <sub>4</sub>	216.31	39.37	180.66	22.04
T <sub>5</sub>	215.46	31.64	177.44	21.10
T <sub>6</sub>	156.05	21.17	151.10	16.66
T <sub>7</sub>	231.79	41.90	188.32	23.76
T <sub>8</sub>	210.95	34.35	173.91	20.34
T <sub>9</sub>	216.43	40.21	178.98	21.57
T <sub>10</sub>	212.92	36.52	173.33	20.95
T <sub>11</sub>	208.56	33.31	177.18	20.28
T <sub>12</sub>	217.30	39.06	183.90	22.59
T <sub>13</sub>	215.42	37.19	178.95	22.08
T <sub>14</sub>	213.92	37.19	177.36	21.43
T <sub>15</sub>	225.83	41.31	184.36	23.49
T <sub>16</sub>	219.06	38.71	183.49	21.99
T <sub>17</sub>	216.90	39.01	180.11	21.71
T <sub>18</sub>	175.22	27.89	152.16	15.58
T <sub>19</sub>	251.72	42.70	179.62	22.82
T <sub>20</sub>	259.53	42.66	178.55	25.75
T <sub>21</sub>	260.88	50.40	195.86	26.42
T <sub>22</sub>	252.92	41.63	182.46	25.59
T <sub>23</sub>	207.63	37.34	179.16	21.38
T <sub>24</sub>	266.13	52.36	197.10	29.20
T <sub>25</sub>	74.28	6.40	59.40	2.55
LSD (P=0.05)	14.68	4.49	11.31	0.84

could be due to direct addition of P through these sources as well as solubilization of native P through release of various organic acids, besides preventing the fixation of added P. Treatment T<sub>24</sub> recorded significantly maximum available potassium content of 197.10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as compared to initial (172.48 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), control (59.40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>1</sub> (163.32 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The increase in potassium under INM can be attributed to decrease in fixation of potassium by organic matter besides, interaction of clay with organic matter (Laxminarayana and Patiram, 2006). Available sulphur registered a maximum value of 29.20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> with treatment T<sub>24</sub> which was significantly superior over initial (20.16 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), control (2.55 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>1</sub> (15.80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 3). The increase in available Sulphur could be attributed to the addition of organic manures, besides, better mineralization of native sulphur by growing crops. The results are in accordance with the findings of Machado and Nieves (1993), Datt *et al.* (2003), Dademal *et al.* (2004) and Sharma *et al.* (2009).

**Rhizosphere microbial population:** After the completion of the experiment (Rabi 2005-06), rhizosphere microbial population *viz.* fungi and bacteria were also influenced by the application of organics and their integration with inorganic fertilizers. Data presented in Table 4 reveals that maximum fungal (60.11 x 10<sup>5</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> soil) and bacterial (74.15 x 10<sup>5</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> soil) populations were recorded with T<sub>24</sub> and were found significantly superior over initial (4.00

Table 4. Direct and residual effect of integrated nutrient management on rhizosphere microbial population

Treatment	Microbial population (Number g <sup>-1</sup> of soil x 10 <sup>5</sup> )	
	Fungi	Bacteria
T <sub>1</sub>	11.60	26.40
T <sub>2</sub>	20.10	34.80
T <sub>3</sub>	21.84	37.25
T <sub>4</sub>	26.56	40.45
T <sub>5</sub>	24.15	45.10
T <sub>6</sub>	31.10	59.80
T <sub>7</sub>	47.10	67.70
T <sub>8</sub>	29.84	41.65
T <sub>9</sub>	40.06	45.85
T <sub>10</sub>	38.15	44.00
T <sub>11</sub>	39.84	46.75
T <sub>12</sub>	41.84	54.65
T <sub>13</sub>	39.58	49.10
T <sub>14</sub>	44.80	60.30
T <sub>15</sub>	45.89	65.45
T <sub>16</sub>	51.06	70.45
T <sub>17</sub>	47.56	70.58
T <sub>18</sub>	10.70	24.00
T <sub>19</sub>	25.02	39.50
T <sub>20</sub>	29.11	42.65
T <sub>21</sub>	40.15	49.60
T <sub>22</sub>	35.15	56.00
T <sub>23</sub>	43.89	69.45
T <sub>24</sub>	60.11	74.15
T <sub>25</sub>	2.65	10.30
LSD (P=0.05)	6.35	10.37

x 10<sup>5</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> soil, 15.00 x 10<sup>5</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> soil), control (2.65 x 10<sup>5</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> soil, 10.30 x 10<sup>5</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> soil) and T<sub>1</sub> (11.60 x 10<sup>5</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> soil, 26.10 x 10<sup>5</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> soil). The increase in fungal and bacterial population in the soil could be attributed to balanced nutrition for microbial growth and favourable physical and chemical properties of soil. Similar findings have also been reported by other workers (Gogoi *et al.*, 2004; Swain *et al.*, 2003)

The study revealed that integrated nutrient management significantly influenced the productivity of main as well as residual crop, physico-chemical properties and microbial activity of experimental soil. Among various treatments under study, treatment T<sub>24</sub> (FYM, sheep manure, poultry manure and vermicompost (3, 2, 0.5, 0.6 tonnes ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) along with biofertilizers (*Azospirillum* and *Phosphobacteria*; resulted maximum fruit yield of okra and pod yield of pea. The physico-chemical characteristics of the soil under study showed an improvement with organics application as compared to initial, control and RFD.

## References

- Acharya, C.L. and K.G. Mandal, 2002. Integrated plant nutrient supply in vegetable crops. In: *Proceedings of winter school-Recent advances in vegetable production technology*, December 03-23, 2002. Indian Institute of Vegetable Research, Varanasi (UP). pp 79-104.



- Agarwal, A.K., 2003. Role of organic enrichers in management of soil salinity. *Agrobios*, 2: 21-23.
- Bhardwaj, M.I., R. Harender and B.L. Koul, 2000. Yield response and economics of organic sources of nutrient as substitute to inorganic sources in okra (*Hibiscus esculentus*). *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, 70: 653-656.
- Bhattacharyya, R., V. Prakash and S. Kendu, 2004. Effect of long term manuring on soil organic carbon, bulk density and water retention characteristics under soybean-wheat cropping sequence in North Western Himalayas. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.*, 52: 238-242.
- Chattoo, M.A., N. Ahmad, S. Narayan and S. Faheema, 2003. Influence of organic manures on cabbage cv. Golden Acre. In: *Souvenir National Seminar on organic products and their future prospectus*, October 21-22, 2003, SKUAST-K, Shalimar, Srinagar (J&K), India. p 94.
- Chattoo, M.A., N. Ahmed, S.H. Khan, S.H. Sidiqui and K. Hussain, 2010. Residual effect of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on succeeding crop pea (*pisum sativum* L.) cv. Bonneville. *Asian J. Hort.*, 2: 299-304.
- Datt, N., R.P. Sharma and G.D. Sharma, 2003. Effect of supplementary use of farmyard manure along with chemical fertilizers on productivity and nutrient uptake by vegetable pea and buildup of soil fertility in Lahaul valley of Himachal Pradesh. *Indian J. Agron.*, 73: 266-268.
- Duraisami, V.P. and A.K. Mani, 2001. Residual effect of inorganic nitrogen, composted coir pith and bio-fertilizers on yield and uptake of soybean in an inceptisol. *Madras Agric. J.*, 88: 277-280.
- Gogoi, D., U. Kotoky and S. Hazarika, 2004. Effect of biofertilizers on productivity and soil characteristics in banana. *Indian J. Hort.*, 61: 354-356.
- Gupta, V.K. and R. Prasad, 2006. Fundamentals of Design of Experiments. *Statistical Manual 2*: MIII 9-41.
- Laxminarayana, K. and Patiram, 2006. Effect of integrated use of inorganic, biological and organic manures on rice productivity and soil fertility in ultisols of Mizoram. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.*, 54: 213-220.
- Machado and Nievees, 1993. Influence of filter cake application on some soil properties and sugar cane yield. *Centro Agric.*, 1: 64-72.
- Magray, G.H. 2002. Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of capsicum (*Capsicum annum* L.). M.Sc.(Ag) Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences & Technology, Srinagar, Kashmir (J&K), India.
- Marinari, S., G. Masciandro, B. Ceccanti and S. Grego, 2000. Influence of organic and mineral fertilizers on soil biological and physical properties. *Bioresource Technol.*, 72: 9-17.
- Narayan, R.N., G.H. Magray, N. Ahmad and A. Samanta, 2004. Effect of organic manures on nutrient uptake and quality of capsicum. *Hort. J.*, 17: 141-144.
- Rao, J.L. 1976. Residual effect of fertilizers applied to previous crops on growth and yield of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.). M.Sc.(Ag) Thesis submitted to Andhra Pradesh Agriculture University, Hyderabad (Andhra Pradesh), India.
- Renuka, B. and C.R. Sankar, 2001. Effect of organic manures on growth and yield of tomato. *South Indian Hort.*, 49: 216-219.
- Sharma, R.P., N. Datt and P. Sharma, 2003. Combined application of nitrogen phosphorus, potassium and farmyard manure in onion under high hills, dry temperature conditions of North Western Himalayas. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, 73: 225-227.
- Sharma, R.P., N. Datt and G. Chandar, 2009. Effect of vermicompost, FYM on yield and nutrient uptake and soil fertility in Okra-Onion sequence in wet temperate zone of Himachal Pradesh. *Indian Soc. Soil Sci.*, 3: 357-61.
- Singh, B., N. Singh and D.V. Singh, 2000. Prospectus of vegetable production in Ladakh. In: *Dynamics of Cold Arid Agriculture*, J.P. Sharma and A.A. Mir (eds.). Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi, India. pp 85-98.
- Swain, A.K., S.K. Pattanyak, M.K. Jena and R.K. Nayak, 2003. Effect of integrated use of bio-inoculants and fertilizer nitrogen on growth, yield and nitrogen economy of okra. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.*, 51: 145-150.
- Walia, S.S. and D.S. Kler, 2005. Effect of organic and chemical sources of nutrition on soil properties in maize wheat system. *Indian J. Ecol.*, 32: 124-127.
- Wang, H., G.H. Zheng and B.A. Ma, 1992. Application of sterilized chicken manure in soil less cultures of tomato. *Chinese Veg.*, 3: 31-32.